

## Summary of External Audit (November 24-27 2008)

- Completed a Re-Assessment Audit to the CAN/CSA - Z809-2002 standard; successful audit resulting in recommendation for re-registration
- Audit included both the Fraser Valley and the Honeymoon Bay operations
- The audit also included Chain of Custody (PEFC) for the dryland sorts (PEFC CoC is about tracking the flow of logs from the woods to the customer, ensuring certified sources)
- Zero Non Conformance were identified (non-conformance indicates key element of the standard not met, action plans must be developed to address issues)
- Six Areas of Concern were raised (AoC indicate that portions of key elements may not be met, action plans must be developed to address):
  - SFMP – review/ consider forecasts for indicators (particularly new indicators under development)
  - SFMP – ensure alternate strategies are documented for indicators (particularly new indicators under development)
  - Fraser Valley Operation - Indicator F5-5 Economic Benefits to Local Communities: The organization should review the indicator's target to ensure it aligns with indicator F5-5 (FVO)
  - Honeymoon Bay Operation - There were a number of pieces of equipment noted with fire extinguishers with no inspection records (extinguishers require annual inspection and tags)
  - Honeymoon Bay Operation - The organization should create a monitoring and tracking program for old roads relating to inspections, work required and completed works (system in place for new roads, but not old)
  - Internal Audit Report – audit report should contain a conclusion statement
- Several Opportunities for Improvement were also noted (OFIs are recommendations/ suggestions for improvements to the system, action plans are voluntary). Some examples:
  - Consider improving First Nation participation in PAGs
  - Review and update the Terms of Reference
  - Review indicators between HBO and FVO and align where possible
  - Several indicator specific recommendations
- Six good practices were identified.

**PAG comments:****Fraser PAG**

Members interviewed - Shawn Gabriel, Shari Conroy, and Steve Dillen

The following comments were made regarding the PAG process:

- Meetings are generally well run, no issues noted
- Teal did provide background information to new members prior to their first meeting with the PAG
- Impressed with the fact the forest industry is willing to have any kind of public input into the operating plans, this is not seen in other industries
- Teal should sit down with First Nation groups to discuss how best to protect traditional use of forest resources while minimizing the impact to the industry
- Sometimes it seems Teal is listening but not hearing the PAG (one member)
- Lack of indicators dealing with recreation was noted
- VOIT (Values, Objectives, Indicator & Target) discussion was at times rushed

**Honeymoon Bay PAG**

Members interviewed: Sandy Peters and Shawn Wolansky

The following comments were made regarding the PAG process:

- Positive comments on the visits and presentations of first Nations Values at the Pacheedaht village
- Representation of interested parties could be better, but is reasonable at this time
- Both pleased with the tour during this audit
- Had input into the SFMP and the VOITs
- Very seldom is consensus not reached
- Communication is good among members and between PAG and Teal Jones
- Inquiries/ consideration about open houses
- The PAG is working reasonably well